

Clarification Question(s)	Customer Response
Please could you confirm the Scope of the Design element in the above Tender ie Design Development, Surveys, Modelling etc.	The design work needs to produce construction drawings that will result in the fish pass outlined in the tender pack, this design has been submitted to the EA. Variation to the gradient, levels and other key dimensions set out will require resubmission to the EA, which would be at contractors cost. Modelling is required to ascertain outputs for the Flood Risk Assessment. The modelling scope has been provided. The Flood Risk Assessment should be staged, such that if the outline designs present an increase recommendaitons for re-design are provided and can be incorporated into final designs. Survey requirements will be determined by the designer and at the contractors cost.
Document PLFP16 details the Development Boundary. In the area around the proposed fishpass, the boundary line is shown half way across the river. Is this correct ? (On document PLFP14 the Boundary is shown to include the full width of the watercourse)	It is the full width of the water course, as we show work across the full width
The scope of works /works information for carrying out remedial works to the existing dam structure does not appear to be currently clearly defined within the documents. Document EVY0763 details various remedial measures to all parts of the dam structure. For the purposes of this tender are tenderers to assume that all of the measures detailed within this document form the scope of works to be priced ? (As water is currently flowing over the dam we have no independent means of assessing the remedial works required to be carried out other than this document)	The remedial works are as clearly defined as they can be without more intrusive surveys that would require remediation themselves. As such the visual inspection is a guide for contractors submitting prices, we expect that a post works visual inspection would have remediated the structural issues identified in the visual inspection.
Can the design solution deviate from a proposed reinforced concrete option; i.e. GRP, steel, aluminium, etc?	Yes the design can deviate from the proposed reinforced concrete option (as described in the tender pack), but we highlight the cited contract requirements that designs must ensure durability.
Does the exterior of the fish pass wall(s) require a masonry or some sort of masonry effect finish?	A masonry or masonry effect finish is required.
Is the contractor responsible for obtaining Local Authority Highways consent for the proposed traffic management and closure?	Yes
Is the contractor responsible for obtaining the necessary EA consents?	No - however should they vary from the proposed details and/or documents provided then the contractor would be responsible for obtaining the variation to the consents, EA and local authority.
Are there any site investigation info or records detailing ground bearing capacity of the river bed, given the columns to be built up off, for carrying the fish pass structure, or shall an allowance be agreed to neutralise all tenderers?	We have decided that an allowance of £7,500 should be made by all tenderers for the ground investigation/site investigation for the fish pass structure. A number of methods, including dive survey and trial pits have been included and this price is considered to suitable cover this. We have included this item on an updated NEC Short Contract
A copy of the topographic survey of the bed levels down-stream of the weir and the levels of debris that has been washed down over the years. This information is key to determining the extent of de-watering that will be required.	Additional topographic data has been obtained, this is leading to a review of outline design drawing. As such this will be provided upon completion of design review 15/04/2019 at 17:00
Are we to assume that the new fish pass structure will be sitting on a masonry stone floor ie the existing channel floor?	It is unclear what the channel floor is at present, and we have agreed to the allowance for a site/ground investigation to establish this point, see other clarification regarding site investigation.